Terminal supraparticle assemblies from similarly charged protein molecules and nanoparticles

Jai Il Park,* Trung Dac Nguyen,* Gleiciani de Queiro´s Silveira, Joong Hwan Bahng, Sudhanshu Srivastava, Gongpu Zhao, Kai Sun, Peijun Zhang, Sharon C. Glotzer & Nicholas A. Kotov

Nat. Commun. 2014, DOI: 10.1038/ncomms4593

1. Introduction

- Supraparticles (SPs) is a type of protein-nanoparticles (NPs) hybrid structures represents a case of stable self-limited terminal assemblies.
- Be a potential analytical¹ and drug delivery tool².
- Integrate biological functions of proteins with optical / electrical properties of metallic / semiconducting materials.
- Geometrical motifs for assemblies between NPs and biomacromolecules were limited because size and shape of SPs assemblies could not be controlled.



Fig.1 Terminal assembly of SPs.

• Terminal assemblies, which could only be formed with inherent size restriction, were not known for hybrid NP-biomacromolecule systems because of small number of particles, bad uniformity or stability.

This work:

• Preparation of a new type of protein–NP hybrid structures, SPs, by the balance of attractive and repulsive forces between the building blocks. It spontaneously assembles from CdTe NPs and cytochrome C (CytC).

2. Results and Discussion

Preparation of SPs:

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Cd}(\text{ClO}_{4})_{2} \cdot 6\text{H}_{2}\text{O}(0.7 \text{ g}) \\ \text{H}_{2}\text{SO}_{4}(0.5 \text{ M, excess}) \\ \text{H}_{2}\text{O, r.t., 10 min} \\ \text{H}_{2}\text{Te} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \text{DMAET}(0.45 \text{ g}) \\ 100 \text{ °C, 1h, stirring} \end{array}}_{100 \text{ °C, 1h, stirring}} \\ \text{CdTe NPs (1 eq)} \\ 3.8 \pm 0.4 \text{ nm, + 26 mV} \\ \text{H}_{2}\text{O, r.t., 72 h} \\ \text{Supraparticles} \\ 100.6 \pm 17.3 \text{ nm} \end{array}$

Junfei XING

2.1 Self-assembly of CdTe NPs and CytC

- Uniformly sized, spherical SPs with a TEM diameter of 94 ± 5.6 nm when the ratio of CdTe and CytC is 1:1 (*Fig. 2*).
- When CdTe NPs or CytC is excess, SPs aggregate.
- A specific tendency of CdTe-CytC pairs to form spheres



Fig. 2 TEM image of CdTe/CytC SP. Scale bar: 200 nm.

2.2 Supraparticle characterization



Fig. 3 (a) High-resolution TEM (HR-TEM) image of the SP. (b) TEM tomographic reconstructions of CdTe / CytC SP: X-Y slices (i-vi) of the SP, shown in every 4.8 nm through the volume. 3D surface rendering(vii) and cross-section (viii) of the SP.

- Consists of reticulated electron-transparent and electron-dense areas (*Fig. 3a*). These areas interpenetrated each other to form a network of tightly interconnected NP-CytC network (*Fig. 3b*).
- Peak shifted from 409 nm to 415 nm in UV (*Fig. 4*) and it indicated a change in the oxidation state of the haem group in the protein from Fe³⁺ to Fe²⁺ upon SPs assembly.



Fig. 4 UV-Vis spectra of CytC and SPs.

• After assembly with NPs, the proteins remained in a folded state otherwise the soret band around 400 nm would have blue shift or there would be the disappearance of the peaks in 500-600 nm region.

Junfei XING

2.3 Assembly mechanism

2.3.1 Time dependend observation

- Wider size distribution in the early stages (24 h) (*Fig. 5 and 6b*) indicates the gradual emergence of SP with an equilibrium diameter.
- The initial increase and then decrease of DLS values (*Fig. 5*) show that large aggregates with a broad size distribution form quickly, and subsequently condense and stabilize in size by 72h.

2.3.2 Simulation

- The effective non-covalent interactions between NPs and CytC are described by the empirical 12-6 Lennard-Jones potential (van der Walls interaction).
- When the ratio of NPs / CytC was 1:1, the simulation result matched well with the experiment data (*Fig. 8a*).
- When the inter-SP charge–charge repulsion was not considered the simulation model, the results are in disagreement with the experiments (*Fig. 8b*).
- When the SPs reach their terminal size they become spherical in shape.
- The assembly mechanism results from the balance between the net attractive forces between the NPs and CytC and their electrostatic repulsion.³



Fig. 5 DLS curves for particle size distribution for different times of self-assembly process between CdTe and CytC.



Fig. 6 CdTe/CytC SPs in the course of the assembly 3 hrs (a), 24 hrs (b), and 72 hrs (c).



Fig. 7 Formation process of SPs.



Fig. δ (a) Spherical assemblies formed by a mixture of 2000 units; (b) Exactly the same to (a), but without considering the inter-SP charge-charge repulsion.

2.4 Photoenzymatic activity

```
NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> + NADPH \rightarrow NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>
```

NADPH: nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate; NRed: nitrate reductase.

- In the absence of light, the presence of the SPs has virtually no effect on the activity
- of the enzyme. (*Fig.* 9a, 1, 2 and 3)
 None of the control experiments shows enzyme activity as high as for the illuminated SP-NRed in the presence of NADPH. (*Fig.* 9a, 4 and 5)
- The SPs are essential for the SP-NA photoenzymatic NO₃⁻ reduction because of electron transfer from NADPH-CdTe-CytC-NRed-NO₃⁻. (Fig. 9b)



Fig. 9 (a) Formation of nitrite for SP-NADPH-NRed(1) excited at 470 nm and for NADPH-NRed(2) and SP-NADPH-NRed in dark(3). Inset: formation of nitrite for NADPH-NRed being excited at 470 nm in presence of only CdTe NPs(4) or CytC(5). (b) Schematics of the reactions on the photoexcitation of SP-NADPH-NRed.

• The assembled SP remains intact for 20 min of photoreaction. After 30 min, SP decomposes (confirmed by TEM) and activity decreases.

3. Conclution

- Positively charged CdTe NPs and proteins self-organize into self-limiting SPs, following a pattern previously unseen for the individual components.
- It is the competition between electrostatic repulsion and non-colvalent attractive interactions (mainly affected by van-der-Walls interaction) that make the self-assemble occurred.
- It might open the door to a new diverse family of colloids and uncover unknown biological effects of NPs present in the environment.

References

(1)You, C.-C.; Miranda, O. R.; Gider, B.; Ghosh, P. S.; Kim, I.-B.; Erdogan, B.; Krovi, S. A.; Bunz, U. H.
F.; Rotello, V. M. *Nat Nano* 2007, *2*, 318-323. (2)Murthy, A. K.; Stover, R. J.; Hardin, W. G.; Schramm, R.;
Nie, G. D.; Gourisankar, S.; Truskett, T. M.; Sokolov, K. V.; Johnston, K. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, *135*, 7799-7802. (3)Xia, Y.; Nguyen, T. D.; Yang, M.; Lee, B.; Santos, A.; Podsiadlo, P.; Tang, Z.; Glotzer, S.
C.; Kotov, N. A. *Nat Nano* 2012, *7*, 479-479.