Journal Club July 4th, 2013

#### Motoharu Oiki

# External Quantum Efficiency Above 100% in a Singlet-Exciton-Fission-Based Organic Photovoltaic Cell

Congreve, D. N.; Lee, J.; Thompson, N. J.; Hontz, E.; Yost, S. R.; Reusswig, P. D.;

Bahlke, M. E.; Reineke, S.; Van Voorhis, T.; Baldo, M. A.

Science 2013, 340, 334.

# 1. Introduction

# 1.1 Approaches to overcome the Shockley-Queisser limit

<The Shockley-Queisser limit (SQ limit)>

- Maximum PCE for a single p-n junction solar cell is  $\sim 31\%$ .
- <To overcome SQ limit>
- Tandem structure, light concentration, multi exiton generation (singlet fission), etc.

# **1.2 Singlet fission**

<Mechanism>



- → Photocurrent can double!
- $\rightarrow$  Key to overcome the SQ limit.
- Detail calculation results: Transition via a dark state

```
(D) (Figure 1).<sup>1</sup>
```

• Optical absorption does not generate dark state (dipole forbidden).

## <Materials>

- Acenes, rubrene, isobenzofuran, etc. (Figure 2a)
- Requirements:
  - (1)  $E(S_1) > 2 \ge E(T_1)$  (The case without thermal activation)
  - (2) Transition from  $S_1$  to D (Figure 2b).<sup>1</sup>



**Figure 1.** Mechanism of singlet fission.<sup>1</sup>  $S_1$  or D could exist within either a monomer or a dimer, whereas  $T_1$  states are necessarily localized on individual monomers.



*Figure 2.* (a) Chemical structures of singlet fission materials. (b) Energies of excited states of a parallel pentacene dimer as a function of separation distance.<sup>1</sup> The mechanism for singlet fission can be described in terms of a state crossing from  $S_1$  to D.

#### **1.3 Singlet fission in organic electronics**

- Photodetectors using singlet fission of pentacene were reported in 2009 by the authors.<sup>2</sup>
- Carrier generation between pentacene  $(T_1)/C_{60}$  has been observed without external voltage.<sup>3</sup>
- Singlet fission in organic photovoltaics was reported by the authors.<sup>4</sup>
- External quantum efficiency (EQE) > 100% in organic solar cells has not been reported.

## 1.4 This work

- < Singlet fission in organic photovoltaics >
- EQE > 100% in organic solar cells.
- Break the conventional barrier of one electron per photon.

#### <Difficulties>

- Deactivation of triplet exitons
- (1) Decrease the film thickness of pentacene.
- (2) P3HT layer as an exciton blocking layer(Figure 3a).
- Reflection by glass substrate
- (1) Antireflection by coating  $MgF_2$ .
- (2) Light trapping (Figure 3b).



*Figure 3.* Schematic images of (a) exciton blocking, and (b) light trapping and antireflection methods.

## 2. Results and Discussion

## 2.1 Photovoltaic characterisitcs

<Device configuration> (Figure 4)

- Thin pentacene film (15 nm) to minimize triplet exciton loss.
- P3HT layer acts as triplet exciton blocking layer as well as hole extracting layer.
- MgF<sub>2</sub> antireflection coatings on the glass substrate to maximize light absorption.



Figure 4. (a) Device architecture with the thickness (nm) of each layer, and (b) chemical structures of materials.

<External quantum efficiency (EQE) spectra and current-voltage characteristics>

 $EQE = \frac{Number of electrons}{Number of irradiated photons}$ 

- → EQE < 100% for conventional device, but EQE can exceed 100% for singlet fission device.
- $\rightarrow$  Reflection of photons by glass decreases EQE.
- Although the EQE was  $(82\pm1)\%$  at vertical incidence of 670 nm light, EQE increased to  $(109\pm1)\%$  at 10° incident angle with an optical trap mirror.
- The open circuit voltage is 0.36 V, which is limited by the  $E(T_1)$  of pentacene (0.86 eV).



*Figure 5.* (a) EQE spectra of devices w/ and w/o optical trapping (solid), and simulated EQE spectra by optical fits from optical modeling (dashed). (b) Current-voltage curves under dark (dashed) and illumination of AM1.5G 100 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> solar simulated light (solid). The power conversion efficiency is (1.8±0.1)%.

## 2.2 Dependence of photocurrent and T<sub>1</sub> yield

<Photocurrent change ( $\delta$ )> (Figure 6A)

$$S_1, S_0 \xrightarrow{k_s} CT \text{ to } C_{60}$$

$$K_{\text{fis}} \xrightarrow{T_1, T_1} (k_{\text{fis}}(B) = \chi(B) k_{\text{fis}}^0)$$

- k depend on magnetic fields<sup>2</sup> and thickness<sup>3</sup>.
- $\chi(B=0.4) = 0.85$  was obtained by the authors<sup>2</sup> using current dependence on magnetic field.
- → How about when using thickness dependence?

$$\delta = \frac{I(B) - I(0)}{I(0)} = \frac{k_{\rm S} k_{\rm fis}^0 (\chi - 1)}{(2k_{\rm fis}^0 + k_{\rm S})(\chi k_{\rm fis}^0 + k_{\rm S})}$$
(1)

where I(B) is the photocurrent as a function of magnetic field (B).

- → Thickness dependence was observed, and  $\delta_{max} =$
- $-(2.7\pm0.1)\%$  in 2-nm-thick layer (Figure 6A).

• From eq. 2,  $\gamma$ (B=0.4) = 0.85, identical to the value obtained in the ref. 2.

$$\chi = \frac{2\delta_{max}^{2} + \delta_{max} + 1 + 2\sqrt{2}\delta_{max}\sqrt{\delta_{max} + 1}}{(\delta_{max} - 1)^{2}}$$
(2)

$$<$$
T<sub>1</sub> yield ( $\eta_{\text{fis}}$ )> (**Figure 6B**)

$$\eta_{\rm fis} = \frac{2}{1 + k_{\rm S}/k_{\rm fis}^0} = \frac{(1 - \delta)\chi - 1 \pm \sqrt{(\delta(\chi + 2) - \chi + 1)^2 - 8\delta^2 \chi}}{(\delta + 1)(\chi - 1)}$$
(3)



circles. 3,4,9,10-Perylene tetracarboxylic bisbenzimidazole was used as acceptor to show generality (red squares).

• In thick films around 10 nm,  $\eta_{\rm fis} \sim 200\%$ , which corresponds to the simulated high IQE (Figure 6B, 6C). Thickness > 15 nm, low IQE owing to triplet exciton diffusion limitations.

- Films with thickness < 5 nm,  $\eta_{\text{fis}}$  because of the competition between  $k_{\text{fis}}$  and  $k_{\text{s}}$ .
- → Thickness around 10-15 nm is the optimum to obtain high  $\eta_{\text{fis}}$  as well as high IQE.

#### **3.** Conclusion

- EQE above 100% was achieved using pentacene in organic photovoltaics.
- P3HT plays a key roll to block pentacene triplet excitons and to extrac holes.
- The optimum domain size of pentacene is 10-15 nm to obtain high  $\eta_{\text{fs}}$  as well as high IQE.



Figure 6. (A) The photocurrent change as a function of pentacene layer thickness. (B)

The triplet yield as obtained from eq. 3. (C) A comparison of the magnetic field effect

(solid line) with the internal quantum

References: 1. Zimmerman, P. M.; Zhang, Z.; Musgrave, C. B. Nat. Chem. 2010, 2, 648. 2. Lee, J.; Jadhav, P.; Baldo, M. A. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2009, 95, 033301. 3. Chan, W. L.; Ligges, M.; Jailaubekov, A.; Kaake, L.; Miaja-Avila, L.; Zhu, X.-Y. Science 2011, 334, 1541. 4. Jadhav, P. J.; Mohanty, A.; Sussman, J.; Lee, J.; Baldo, M. A. Nano Lett. 2011, 11, 1495.