## A. Nonheme Iron-Mediated Amination of C(sp<sup>3</sup>)–H Bonds.

## Quinquepyridine-Supported Iron-Imide/Nitrene Intermediates by Experimental Studies and DFT Calculations

Chi-Ming Che\* et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 7194-7204.

B. Complex N-Heterocycle Synthesis via Iron-Catalyzed, Direct C-H Bond Amination

Theodore A. Betley\* et al. Science, 2013, 340, 591-595.

## 1. Introduction

## 1-1. C(sp<sup>3</sup>)-H amination: Nitrene insertion into C(sp<sup>3</sup>)-H bond to make C-N bond

• Nitrene: N analogue of the carbene, too reactive and too unstable (Figure 1)

- Because of the ability of H atom abstraction/radical

recombination to give C-N bond, nitrene has attracted much attention as a promising reagent for C-H amination.

nitrene - insertion into C-H bond (nitrenoid)

dimerization to form azo-compound

- H· abstraction from organic compounds

- aziridine formation from olefin

Figure 1. Generation and reactivity of nitrene species

R-N:

(RN=M)

=> Low efficiency and site-selectivity has been problem.

- Control of reactivity of nitrene has been achieved with the utilization of the transition metal catalysis (nitrenoid).

- Most general approach is rhodium catalysis (Initial report by Breslow (1982) => Du Bois C-H amination). Other metals such as Cu, Mn, Pd, Ru, Ag, have been also investigated (Müller, Che, Mansuy, Katsuki, Cenini, He,...).<sup>1</sup>

R-N-X

 $X = N_2$ , IPh, Cl, Br

B = FWG

## 1-2. Iron as an alternative catalyst

- Iron ... abundant, nontoxic => ideal metal as a catalyst

- Ligand framework is the key for achieving of C-H amination. Inspired by natural compounds "heme" (Fe=O supported by porphyrin-iron), many ligands have been developed to achieve C-H amination with iron-imide (Fe=N). However these ligands are not effective for achieving catalytic turnover.<sup>2</sup>

- Recently, the first general C(sp<sup>3</sup>)-H amination Scheme 1. Iron-catalyzed intramolecular allylic C-H amination and unique reactivity was achieved using a heme-like iron complex cat. [FePc•SbF<sub>6</sub>] OSO<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub> (Scheme 1).<sup>3</sup>

- This catalytic system shows unique selectivity, compared with Rh catalysis (e.g. isomerization). => Possibility of orthogonal reactivity of iron!



**Problems:** Limited to allylic C–H bonds that have relatively low BDE (~89 kcal/mol) => *Limited scope* No mechanistic study on the reason why FePc is good => Further improvement of ligand design is difficult

## 1-3. This work

- Two groups independently developed new ligand frameworks, which both enabled general iron-catalyzed C-H amination (Figure 2).

- These iron complexes are well defined and characterized by X-ray or ESI-MS, and putative intermediate was also proposed from results of mechanistic studies, supported by DFT calculations.



Figure 2. Ligand-controlled general C-H amination by iron catalysis

## 2. Results and Discussion

# 2-A. Quinquepyridine-iron complex (1) (Che et al., Figure 3)

- Seven-coordinated iron complex (6 + 1) shows nitrene-transfer reactivity.<sup>2b</sup>

- This work: Five-coordination by the ligand + Two labile coordination site (5 + 2)

Hypothesis: Iron-bis(imide/nitrene) complex shows high reactivity?

## Results (Scheme 2, Scheme 3)

Scheme 2. Intramolecular C(sp<sup>3</sup>)-H amination with tosylamine catalyzed by 1 Scheme 3. Intermolecular C(sp<sup>3</sup>)-H amination catalyzed by 1



- Direct amination of benzylic, 3°, and 2° C-H bonds has been achieved with catalytic amount of iron (5 mol%).

- Intermolecular C–H amination also proceeded.

- 4-coordinate, 3-coordinate ligands (Fe-monoimide) => no reaction

## Mechanistic Investigations

#### a) KIE study, Hammet Plot

- Intermolecular KIE (cyclohexane):  $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D} = 3.4 \ (80 \ ^{\circ}{\rm C})$ 

- Hammet plot (*Figure 4*): reaction becomes faster when Y = EDG.

=> C–H abstraction is slow<sup>4</sup>

## b) ESI-MS, equimolar experiments

• In ESI-MS analysis, several iron imide/nitrene complexes  $(C_x)$  were detected depending on the reaction conditions.

- complex **1** : PhI=NTs = 1:4 (*Figure 5*)

Peaks of bisimide ( $C_{NTs}$ ), monoimide (C),  $[Fe(qpy)]^{2+}$  were observed. =>  $C_{NTs}$  may involve in this reaction



Prominent peak which can be assigned to  $[Fe(qpy)(NTs)(ClO_4)]^+$  (C<sub>ClO4</sub>) was observed. No peak of C or C<sub>NTs</sub> were detected.



**Figure 4.** Plot of log  $k_{\rm R}$  vs  $\sigma_{\rm p}^+$  for amination of p-YC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Et



Figure 5. ESI-MS spectrum of the reaction of 1 with PhI=NTs (4 equiv) in MeCN.

intensity (%)



 $[Fe(qpy)(MeCN)_2](ClO_4)_2$  (1)

- As shown in Table 1, excess amount of nitrene precursor is necessary to obtain aminated product (Compare entry 2 and 3 in *Table 1*)  $\Rightarrow$  Active species is  $C_{rec}$  or  $C_{rec}$  not  $C_{rec}$ .

=> Active species is  $C_{NTs}$  or C, not  $C_{ClO4}$ .

## c) DFT calculations

- Energy barriers of H-atom abstraction:
- $C_{NTs}$ : 15.3 kcal/mol C: 14.2 kcal/mol  $C_{CIO4}$ : 17.6 kcal/mol => Corresponds to the experimental result.

- Both C<sub>NTs</sub> and C, calculated Fe=N distance is markedly long (1.819, 1.884 Å

for  $C_{NTs}$ , 1.822 Å for C), suggesting Fe=N bond is so weak that imide ligand may have radical nitrene character and could be described as ['NTs]<sup>-</sup> => high reactivity for C-H abstraction

- Bis(imide)-iron complex may play pivotal role. Further experiment is required, but they failed to isolate  $C_{NTs}$  or C.

# 2-B. Dipyrrinato-iron complex (Betley et al., Scheme 4)

Ligand design for C–H amination:<sup>5</sup> 1) Strongly-donating substituents: nucleophilic metal complex that support

Fe=N bond formation

2) Steric bulk: minimal coordination of Fe=N bond which may result in high reactivity of nitrene



Scheme 4. Dipyrrinato iron complex 2 and their previous achievement

# Notably, simple alkyl azide can be used as aminating reagent!

- Previously they achieved catalytic turnover of C–H amination, but reagents were excess and conversion is very low. This work: Intramolecular reaction of alkyl azides to give N-heterocyclic compounds

# Results (Table 2)



Table 2. Intramolecular C–H amination catalyzed by 2 for the synthesis of pyrrolidine derivatives

2 (10 mol%)

- General synthesis of N-heterocycles via Fe-cat. C-H amination. EWG on the azide is not required.

- Notably, strong C–H bond such as, 2°, 1° C–H bond can be also aminated (entries 4 and 5).

Table 1. Equimolar experiments



#### Property of complex 2

In previous work,<sup>5</sup> they isolated and fully characterized the iron imide complex (3) (*Scheme 5*).
Distance of Fe=N: 1.768 Å (longest so far)
High spin ground state (S = 2, supported by Mössbauer measurements and DFT calculations)

=> Radical nitrene character ['NTs]<sup>-</sup> which shows high reactivity (same conclusions with the case 2-A)

## Mechanistic investigations





# (a) H Ph D $(\pm)$ N<sub>3</sub> H Ph $(\pm)$ $(\pm)$ N<sub>3</sub> H $(\pm)$ $(\pm$

Scheme 6. Mechanistic studies

They proposed two pathways, path I and II (*Figure 6*).

- Intermediate 4 is quantitatively obtained in the absence of  $Boc_2O$ .

- Intramolecular KIE (5.1) is similar to previous case, in which path I is proposed (*Scheme 6*, eq. (a)).

- Retention of the stereochemistry and radical clock experiment showed radical intermediate is less plausible (eq. (b) and (c)).

=> Finally they could not conclude which path is more plausible, although previous studies suggest path I.

If path I is operative, radical recombination should be very fast (>  $10^{11}$ /s).

#### 3. Conclusions

- Two general C-H amination methods have been developed, with different working hypotheses and ligand designs.
- C-H bond that have strong BDE, such as 2°, 1° C-H bonds can also be successfully aminated.

• Design of the ligand strongly affects distance of Fe=N bond, which leads to high reactivity of nitrene (supported by experimental results, spectroscopic analysis and theoretical studies)

T.; Grandjean, F.; Long, G. L.; Chang, C. J. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2011, 369, 82–96.

Scheme 5. Synthesis and isolation of iron imide/nitrene intermediate (3)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Zalatan, D. N.; Du Bois, J. Top. Curr. Chem. 2010, 292, 347–378.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For example, (a) Jensen, M. P.; Mehn, M. P.; Que, L. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 4357–4360. (b) Soo, H. S.; Sougrati, M.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Paradine, S. M.; White, M. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 2036–2039.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Simmons, E. M.; Hartwig, J. F. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 3066–3072.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> (a) King, E. R.; Betley, T. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, *48*, 2361–2363. (b) King, E. R.; Hennessy, E. T.; Betley, T. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, *133*, 4917–4923.